GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

`Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Appeal No. 136/2016

Mr. Rajesh Verlekar, Resident of H.No. 54, Barros wado, Sangolda, Bardez Goa.

..... Appellant

V/s.

- Public Information Officer,
 The Administrator of Communidades,
 North Zone,
 Mapusa Bardez Goa
- 2. Communidade of Sangolda, Through its Attorney/Escrivao, Sangolda, bardez Goa.

...... Respondents

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 18/07/2016 Decided on: 03/04/2017

ORDER

- 1. The present, second appeal came to be filed by the appellant Shri Rajesh verlekar in terms of section 19(3)of Right to Information Act 2005, against the Respondent No. 1 PIO, administrator of North Zone, Bardez Goa and against Respondent No. 2 communidade of sangolda with a prayer for directions against both the Respondent for furnishing him information as sought by him vide his application dated 09/09/2015.
- After notifying the parties matter was taken up for hearing. In pursuant to the notice appellant appeared alongwith Advocate Agni. Despite of due service of notice, Respondent remained absent nor filed his reply. On behalf of Respondent no. 2 Communidade of Salngolda Shri A. F. Lobo appeared.
- 3. It was submitted on behalf of Respondent 2 Communidade of Sangolda that they were not a party to the application filed u/s 6(1) of RTI nor before first appellate authority. No order is Passed by the

First Appellate Authority against them and the First appellate authority had issued direction to Respondent No. 1 PIO. It is their contention that information at point No. 1 have been furnished to the appellant by them. They further submitted that the old village plan is with a survey Department and that extract of registered maintained by Communidade does not bear any survey number as such they are unable to provide the document at point No. 2. They further submitted that the communidade did not maintained any registered in respect of the property of bearing Survey No. 21/27 and 20/37 of village Sangolda. The appellant affirmed of having received the information at point no. 1

- 4. On 3/4/2017 the Advocate for the appellant expressed his willingness to withdraw the appeal without prejudice to the rights of the appellant to file fresh application. Accordingly appellant endorsed his say on reverse of memo of appeal.
- 5. In view of the submission made by the advocate for the appellant and endorsement made the appellant, nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal.

The appeal is disposed as withdrawn, Liberty is given to the appellant to seek any additional information on same subject matter if he so desires.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/(**Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar**)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa